

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,779	10/646,779 08/25/2003		Raquel Sanchez	47092.00039	1084	
32294	7590	07/26/2006		EXAMINER		
. ,		S & DEMPSEY L.	DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS			
14TH FLOO 8000 TOW		CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TYSONS C	ORNER,	VA 22182	2617			
1 130113 0	ORITER,	VA 22102		2017		

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/646,779	SANCHEZ, RAQUEL	SANCHEZ, RAQUEL		
Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
Pierre-Louis Desir	2617			

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
th pl a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on is application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliancine periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendmen ice of Appeal (with appeal fee	t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ter than SIX MONTHS from the n b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final rejection	on.
have bee under 37 set forth may redu	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exto of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CF ension and the corresponding am hortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. Tr fili a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. ⊠ T (a (b	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
·	 They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 			the issues for
4. 🔲 T	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
ho Th Cl Cl Cl	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1-46. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:] will be entered and an e	explanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
er sh	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and the state of the affidavit or other evidence failed to on the state of the state o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fai d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attach	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pag	per No(s).	
13. 🔲 (Other:		11/1	
1/	#		Thu Li	
14	Y	So	SEPH ETILD	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No. 10/646,779

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claims have been amended with limitations that was previously disclosed in the preamble. This amendment changes the scope of the claim, and as such would require further consideration and/or search. The preamble's recitation has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).